

## ABSTRACT

The Effects of Endurance Training and Short-term High Intensity Sprint Training on Performance and Endurance Related Variables in Well-trained Endurance Cyclists

J.L. Goulder, M.G. Spitz., K.S. Rola, K.N. Weaver, and J.B. Mitchell. Department of Kinesiology, Exercise Physiology Laboratory, Texas Christian University

**Background:** Recent research has suggested supramaximal training can be an effective means of improving endurance performance; however ultra high intensity training (UHIT) has not been examined as a replacement of training volume in a well-trained endurance population. Overuse-related injuries, recurring illness, feelings of staleness, and overtraining that are often associated with high volume training may be avoided with low volume, UHIT training. **Purpose:** The purpose of this study was to compare the effects of two weeks of low volume UHIT with two weeks of traditional HV endurance training on lactate threshold (LT),  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$ , steady state efficiency, substrate utilization rates, and 25K time trial performance in well-trained endurance athletes. **Method:** Twenty ( $\text{VO}_2\text{max} \geq 55 \text{ ml/kg/min}$  or  $4.5 \text{ L/min}$  and minimum training volume of  $150 \text{ km/week}$ ) male cyclists were match-paired into two groups. Four two-day testing sessions were performed at 0, 2, 4, and 6 wks. Day one of testing measured  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  and lactate threshold. Day two involved a 10-min steady state ride followed by a 25K time trial. All participants were tested, then continued two weeks of their endurance training. Following a retest, the controls (CON) continued with their endurance training while the other half (INT) replaced their endurance training with UHIT, consisting of a 10-min warm-up followed by 8-10 x 30 sec sprints at a workload of  $0.075 \text{ kg/kg}$  body weight and 4.5 min recovery. Participants completed 6 training sessions over two weeks with two optional low intensity endurance days. Following the second phase all participants re-tested then either continued with (CON) or returned to (INT) their individual endurance-training regimen. A final testing session was conducted two wks later. **Results:** There were no significant differences between the two groups in  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  or LT (% of  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$ ).

GROUP	VARIABLE	Wk 1	Wk 2	Wk 3	Wk 4
CON	$\text{VO}_2\text{max}$	$4.12 \pm 0.37$	$4.22 \pm 0.46$	$4.25 \pm 0.46$	$4.16 \pm 0.40$
	LT %	$72 \pm 9$	$71 \pm 6$	$71 \pm 9$	$71 \pm 10$
INT	$\text{VO}_2\text{max}$	$4.17 \pm 0.49$	$4.23 \pm 0.47$	$4.16 \pm 0.43$	$4.09 \pm 0.39$
	LT %	$69 \pm 7$	$69 \pm 5$	$69 \pm 5$	$69 \pm 5$

In addition, cycling efficiency, steady state  $\text{VO}_2$  and heart rate, and 25K time trial performance were not different. **Discussion:** A short-term reduction in training volume replaced by relatively short sessions of supramaximal training can affectively maintain endurance cycling performance for well-trained cyclists. This type of training may be useful as a means of decreasing the occurrence of negative consequences of long-term, high volume training.